1 BEFORE THE ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION 2 IN THE MATTER OF:) 3) Rendered Services, Inc.) 4 an Illinois Corporation.))No. 74 RTV-R Sub 15 / 5 81440 MC Respondent.)) 6 Hearing on fitness to hold a) Commercial Vehicle Relocatio's) 7 License pursuant to Section 401) of the Illinois Commercial) Relocation of Trespassing 8) Vehicles Law, 625 ILC'S) 9 5/18a-401.) 10 Chicago, Illinois 11 October 26, 2016 Met pursuant to notice at 10:00 a.m. 12 13 BEFORE: LATRICE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE, Administrative Law Judge. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

```
1 APPEARANCES:
```

```
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION, by
2
       MR. BENJAMIN BARR
3
       160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800
       Chicago, Illinois 60601-3104
4
       (312) 814-2859
       bbarr@icc.illinois.gov
5
                Appearing on behalf of the Staff of the
                 Illinois Commerce Commission;
6
7
       GOLDSTINE, SKRODZKI, RUSSIAN, NEMEC
       AND HOFF, LTD., by
       MR. DONALD S. ROTHCHILD
8
       The Prairie Building
       835 McClintock Drive, Second Floor
9
       Burr Ridge, Illinois 60527-0860
10
       (630) 655-6000, Ext. 236
       drothschild@gsrnh.com
11
                Appearing on behalf of the respondent.
12
     ALSO PRESENT:
13
     Mr. James Damion
14
     SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
     Brad Benjamin, CSR
15
     License No. 084-004805
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

1		<u>I</u>	N	<u>D E X</u>			
2	Witnesser	Dire	. a t	Croc a a	Re-		By Examiner
3	<u>Witnesses:</u> None.	DILE			arrect	<u>CI055</u>	Examiner
4		TI V	TT	трт	— — —		
5		<u>E X</u>	<u>H</u>	<u>I B I</u>	<u>T</u> <u>S</u>		
6	Number Name ze mewhod	For	Id	entifi	cation		In Evidence
7	None so marked or admitted.						
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							

1 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: By the power vested in me by the State of Illinois and the Illinois 2 Commerce Commission, I now call Docket No. 74 RTV-R 3 4 Sub 15 for hearing. This is in the matter of Rendered 5 Services, Inc., and this is a status hearing on their б application for renewal of a commercial relocator's 7 8 license. 9 May I have appearances, please. Let's 10 start with Rendered. 11 MR. BARR: Good. 12 MR. ROTHCHILD: Good morning, your Honor. My 13 name is Donald S. Rothchild. My business address is 14 835 McClintock Drive Burr Ridge, Illinois 60527. I'm 15 an attorney licensed by the Supreme Court, and I represent the respondent, Rendered Services, Inc. 16 17 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Thank you. Staff? 18 19 MR. BARR: Good morning, your Honor. My name 20 is Benjamin Barr, I appear on behalf of Staff of the 21 Illinois Commerce Commission. My office is located at 160 North LaSalle Street, Suite 800 in Chicago, 22

Illinois 60601, and my office telephone number is
 (312) 814-2859.

JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Thank you. 3 All right. Well, this morning I was 4 greeted with a motion to compel in my office, so I 5 assume you filed it last night or yesterday. б MR. ROTHCHILD: Right. 7 We had -- by the way of the history 8 since we've been here last, your Honor, we were here, 9 10 I believe it was September 26th. And preceding that status hearing, I had several conferences with 11 12 Ms. Anderson about discovery, and I thought we made 13 some progress. She never told me on September 26 14 that she was leaving the Commission. In fact, she's 15 really never told me she's leaving the Commission. She never withdrew as an attorney in this proceeding, 16 17 so she still is of record. She sent me an e-mail on 18 the 30th saying that she no longer represents the Commission, whatever that means. But in any legal 19 20 proceeding an attorney of record, who appears of record, has to have leave to withdraw. 21

22 So be that as it may, I had reached

several accords with her regarding discovery, which were never honored by her. After she left, Mr. Barr contacted me. We've had several conference calls and have been able to largely resolve everything except one issue which we agreed to disagree about, which is the matter addressed in the motion to compel. He's still reviewing material.

He had a whole lot of work dumped on 8 him, I guess by virtue of Ms. Anderson no longer 9 10 representing the Commission, and I told him I'd work with him and give him the time he needs to review 11 everything, but this item is something that he told 12 13 me he can't resolve with me by agreement. So 14 accordingly, I filed it, and, you know, certainly he 15 has the right -- or time to respond and we'd like to 16 reply.

17 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Sure.

MR. BARR: What Counsel said is correct, your Honor. We did have numerous -- or two conversations throughout the last 30 days regarding what was outlined in the respondent's 201k letter. We were able to reach accord on most of that. It is an

ongoing process in trying to gather some of that
 information.

Some of that information's required me 3 4 to get access to Ms. Anderson's e-mail account, which I finally do have access to, but the issue is 5 becoming trying to get archived e-mails that are б archived every 30 days in our system -- to get access 7 to those. So IT is currently in the process of 8 trying to grant me access to those e-mails. It would 9 10 just be a matter of time of gathering that 11 information and responding and supplementing the 12 respondent's request.

13 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Okay. So how much 14 time would you like to respond to his motion? 15 MR. BARR: Ideally, your Honor, 14 days. When Counsel and I have talked about this issue in the 16 17 past, I believe it is going to require the Office of 18 General Counsel to get involved on this issue. 19 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAOUE: Of the Commission? 20 MR. BARR: Yes. Just due the nature of the 21 requests that are in the motion to compel.

22 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Okay.

1 MR. BARR: 14 days would be ideal. I know that's run into some time -- days off with the 2 Commission, the week of Veteran's Day, November 8th. 3 4 MR. ROTHCHILD: Your Honor, this -- Mr. Barr is 5 not being unreasonable by asking for 14 days. Ι quess he doesn't realize that that's nothing. б But in any event may I respectfully 7 suggest that he is in the process of reviewing 8 matters that we discussed at the 201k discovery 9 10 conferences that may or may not result in further disputed items. And if he wanted to take 30 days to 11 12 come to terms with that, maybe we could resolve --13 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: This issue. 14 MR. ROTHCHILD: Well, not -- it won't resolve 15 this, but there may be other motions. 16 For example, there's an issue 17 regarding privilege, whether certain documents and 18 information is privileged, and he is undertaking to locate that and craft a response. If he determines 19 20 that we are in dispute about that, which he hasn't finally determined, is that he'd give us the 21 privilege -- a privilege log, then that would be 22

another matter of a discovery dispute, and I'm
 thinking maybe all discovery disputes can be resolved
 at the same time if there are any more.

MR. BARR: I mean, without seeing the documents and having the documents, you know, to review, I'm not aware if there is going to be a discovery dispute. I mean, Staff will certainly make their best effort to either give the respondent a privilege log or produce any documents that would fall outside of privilege.

11 I quess it would be up to the respondent whether they would like to hold off on the 12 13 motion to compel and combine it all into one motion 14 once we, you know, supplement our answers. We also 15 received further discovery requests from the 16 respondent this morning that I have not had a chance 17 to review, but will -- obviously, will require some time to gather those documents. 18

MR. ROTHCHILD: That would make some sense. So we're going to get a privilege log. We're going to say, "Okay. Fine. We agree by virtue of the description those appear to be privilege." Or we may

1 say, "Wait a minute. You can't claim a privilege on 2 that document," and then move to compel. So if we're 3 going to have this privilege log or the documents 4 within 30 days, we'll know whether or not there are 5 further disputes. And I think it's a reasonable 6 suggestion to have that all resolved at the same 7 time.

JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: All right. It seems 8 to be more efficient rather than meeting every so 9 10 often on one issue and then have -- you know, so that we can streamline -- streamline this as a best as we 11 12 can, I think it would be better to allow Mr. Barr to 13 review the information regarding privilege, and if 14 there's any issues resulting from that, we can 15 consider along with your existing motion to compel. 16 So what would you think your time 17 frame is for that, Mr. Barr? 18 MR. BARR: In terms of producing the other documents? 19 20 MR. ROTHCHILD: The privilege log and/or the 21 documents.

MR. BARR: I mean, ideally 30 days. Staff

22

1 hopes to have that done by then barring any IT difficulties or any --2 3 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Okay. MR. BARR: -- issues on that end. 4 5 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Okay. Well, 30 days I think is -- sounds reasonable, and -- where were б 7 we? 8 MR. ROTHCHILD: Thanksgiving. 9 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Thanksgiving. 10 MR. ROTHCHILD: Oh, God. Times flies. 11 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Let's meet on 12 Thanksgiving Day. 13 MR. ROTHCHILD: What are you serving? 14 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Come to my house. 15 No. Let's what we're doing the week of 16 November 28th. 17 How is that Tuesday, the 29th? 18 MR. ROTHCHILD: Well, that's not a good day. But in any event, let's say his response date --19 20 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Oh, right. MR. ROTHCHILD: -- is the 29th. Then we would 21 need a short period of time to determine whether 22

1 we're going to file another motion to compel or we're satisfied with -- because again, the issue is going 2 to be, if he claims a privilege -- we don't know --3 4 a privilege was generically claimed, and we convinced Ms. Anderson and now Mr. Barr that we need a 5 privilege log under the rules which generally б describes -- or somewhat specifically, without 7 getting into it, the substance of it, substantively 8 generally describes each of the privileged documents, 9 10 and then we have a chance to say we don't believe that that's properly claimed as a privilege, and 11 12 therefore move to compel. So we have to review --13 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Uh-huh. 14 MR. ROTHCHILD: -- his 30-day production and then make our motion or resolve it by further 15 discussion and then come to you, if necessary. 16 17 I mean, I don't mind a status that week, but --18 19 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: No, if it's not 20 necessary, it's not necessary. 21 So let's have your due date on the 22 29th. That will give you the 28th in case you have

1 holiday plans.

2 And then what, 14 days, Mr. Rothchild? 3 MR. ROTHCHILD: Yeah. 4 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: The 13th, does that 5 work? 6 MR. ROTHCHILD: So I could do the 13th in the afternoon, early in the afternoon, like, 1:30? 7 8 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: That's fine. 9 MR. BARR: That's works. 10 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: That would be your 11 response date? Wait. 12 MR. ROTHCHILD: That would be our -- motion 13 to -- Further Motion to Compel or --14 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: And status? 15 MR. ROTHCHILD: -- and status. That would be -- I would file it by 16 17 then and we could have a status so that you know 18 whether or not where discovery is at. 19 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Okay. 20 MR. ROTHCHILD: We wouldn't expect you to rule 21 on that date, and obviously Mr. Barr would then have 22 time on that date to respond to any motion that we

1 file, so it would be due on that day.

2	Is that acceptable?						
3	JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: That's acceptable.						
4	Okay. For the record, Staff shall						
5	have until November 29th to respond to Rendered's						
6	data request regarding privilege privileged						
7	information. And then Rendered shall have until						
8	Tuesday, December 13th, to file a reply or a motion						
9	to compel as a result of Staff's filing. And we						
10	shall also have a status hearing on December 13th at						
11	1:30 p.m. here in Chicago to discuss these discovery						
12	matters further.						
13	MR. ROTHCHILD: Very well.						
14	JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: All right. Thank						
15	you. We're done.						
16	(Whereupon the above-referenced						
17	matter was continued to						
18	December 13, 2016, at						
19	1:30 p.m.)						
20							
21							
22							